IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA Criminal Division

COMMONWEALTH

vs. : CR 227-2024

SHAWN C. CRANSTON



SENTENCE

Francis J. Schultz, J.

AND NOW, July 28, 2025, following the verdict of guilty to the crime of Murder in the First Degree, the sentence of the Court is as follows:

- 1. You shall pay costs of prosecution and a fine of \$10,000.
- 2. You shall undergo imprisonment in a State Correctional Institution to be determined by the Department of Corrections for a term of life imprisonment. This sentence shall be computed from this date, with credit of presentence incarceration of 513 days from March 2, 2024 through July 27, 2025.

Additional sentence:

Following a verdict of guilty to the crime of Second Degree Murder of Unborn Child, the sentence of the Court is as follows:

- 1. You shall pay a fine of \$10,000.
- 2. You shall undergo imprisonment in a State Correctional Institution to be determined by the Department of Corrections for a term of life imprisonment.
- 3. This sentence runs consecutive to the sentence imposed above for Murder in the First Degree.

Additional sentence:

Following a verdict of guilty to the crime of Burglary, graded as a felony of the first degree, the sentence of the Court is as follows:

- 1. You shall undergo imprisonment in a State Correctional Institution to be determined by the Department of Corrections for a minimum term of 6 years to a maximum term of 20 years.
- 2. This sentence runs concurrent to the sentence imposed above for Murder in the First Degree.

Additional sentence:

Following a verdict of guilty to the crime of Criminal Trespass graded as a felony of the third degree, the sentence of the Court is as follows:

- 1. You shall undergo imprisonment in a State Correctional Institution to be determined by the Department of Corrections for a minimum term of 10 months to a maximum term of 7 years.
- 2. This sentence runs concurrent to the sentence imposed above for Murder in the First Degree.

The Defendant's aggregate sentence is a term of life imprisonment, to be followed by a consecutive term of life imprisonment.

Consecutive life sentences are warranted in this case because of the brutal nature of the killings and the fact that there are two victims.

It is this Court's intention that the Defendant remain incarcerated for the rest of his natural life. No commutation of this sentence should ever occur.

BY ORDER

THE/COURT,

rancis J. Schultz, J.

Attorney for Commonwealth: EVAN LOWRY, DAG KEVIN SCHEIBEL, DAG

Attorney for Defendant: LOUIS EMMI, ESQ.