~PRESS RELEASE~

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Dated: February 24, 2012

Contact Person: President Judge Anthony J. Vardaro

Crawford County Courthouse 903 Diamond Park Meadville, PA 16335 (814)333-7497

avardaro@co.crawford.pa.us

RE: Magisterial Judicial Redistricting in Crawford County

Crawford County President Judge Anthony J. Vardaro announced today that after the mandated 10-year magisterial judicial redistricting review, he will be submitting a recommendation to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts proposing the elimination of Magisterial District 30-3-02, with its current office located in Vernon Township, upon the expiration of the current term of Magisterial District Judge Michael Rossi on January 3, 2016.

A detailed report as to how that decision was reached will be available for the next thirty (30) days at each of the county's five current Magisterial District Judges' offices and in the Crawford County Law Library during normal business hours. Additionally, it will be available online on the county's website at www.crawford county.pa.net for that same thirty (30) days.

The report will be submitted sometime after that thirty (30) day period, but before March 30, 2012 to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts for review.

Any public comment with regard to the proposal should be addressed in writing to President Judge Anthony J. Vardaro, 903 Diamond Park, Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335. Those comments will be submitted with the report to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts.

AJV/jlk

REPORT ON JUDICIAL REDISTRICTING FOR THE MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE BOUNDARIES IN CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

Issued by President Judge Anthony J. Vardaro

February 24, 2012

Every ten (10) years President Judges throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania are required by law to analyze the workload of magisterial districts within their county and to compare those statistics to magisterial districts within the county and similar population sized counties. President Judges are required to report to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, through the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, so that the Pennsylvania Supreme Court can make a determination as how to best use judicial resources available for the citizens of this Commonwealth.

As was indicated in a Press Release from the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts on October 31, 2011, "New to this year's process is a directive from the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to develop a statewide plan to reduce the overall number of magisterial district court judgeships to address a series of financial shortfalls Pennsylvania's Judiciary has struggled with over the last several years."

Beginning in the latter part of October 2011, in conjunction with Crawford County Court Administrator, John L. Shuttleworth, III, I began an analysis of the Crawford County Magisterial District Judge court system based on criteria provided to us by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts.

I will summarize the process that has occurred since October 2011, which has led to the conclusion that I will recommend to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts that Magisterial District 30-3-02, which currently is served by Magisterial District Judge Michael Rossi with an office in Vernon Township, be eliminated at the end of Judge Rossi's current term ending

on January 3, 2016. That district currently includes Cochranton Borough, East Fairfield Township, Fairfield Township, Union Township, Vernon Township and Wayne Township.

As of January 4, 2016, under the plan I will propose, Cochranton Borough, East Fairfield Township, Fairfield Township, Wayne Township, East Mead Township, Blooming Valley Borough, Richmond Township and Randolph Township will become part of Magisterial District 30-3-06 with its office located in Titusville.

Vernon Township will become part of Magisterial District 30-3-03, which currently has an office in the Crawford County Correctional Facility complex in Saegertown Borough.

Union Township and Greenwood Township will become part of Magisterial District 30-3-01 with an office currently in Linesville.

The proposal I will make is clearly consistent with the guidelines presented to me by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts in that the proposal creates districts with workloads more comparable than is the current situation and further creates workloads for the four proposed magisterial districts that appear to be appropriate when compared to other sixth class counties.

The elimination of District 30-3-02, however, will undoubtedly cause hardship to many citizens of Crawford County and to police agencies. Unfortunately, some of those same townships and the Borough of Cochranton were affected by the previous redistricting conducted by former President Judge Gordon R. Miller in 2001 and 2002 that resulted in a magisterial district being eliminated in Cochranton Borough. I regret that inconvenience to all citizens and police agencies affected and particularly those areas which required change as a result of the 2002 plan and now again in 2012, but this is unavoidable.

I would note that in arriving at the conclusion I am now proposing, I have strictly followed the guidelines provided by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts and have accepted the mandate from the Pennsylvania Supreme Court that the economic times require, in the case of Crawford County, the elimination of one magisterial district. I have seen in news reports and heard by word of mouth that some counties throughout the Commonwealth may not be strictly adhering to the guidelines in hopes of keeping all of their magisterial districts or at least not reducing the number of districts to the extent the guidelines would suggest.

It is my hope that the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and ultimately the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, will require the enforcement of the guidelines provided consistently throughout the Commonwealth, since I have applied those guidelines and the end result will create a hardship and inconvenience for many of Crawford County's citizens and some police agencies.

Prior to conducting the analysis that was currently required, in light of the fact Crawford County had eliminated a district effective 2006 and I was aware of the criteria that were applied during the analysis by Judge Miller in 2001 and 2002, I was of the opinion it would not be necessary to eliminate of one of the existing five magisterial districts in Crawford County. I, in fact, expressed that opinion to the five sitting Magisterial District Judges, indicating that it may, however, be necessary to shift some townships to equalize the workload.

However, as a result of the mandate from the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to reduce the overall number of magisterial district court judgeships, the criteria have changed, resulting in the current recommendation.

Magisterial District Judges in Pennsylvania sit in various types of cases, including civil cases (up to a jurisdiction limit of \$12,000), landlord tenant cases, traffic filings, non-traffic summary criminal filing, preliminary hearings in criminal cases and miscellaneous matters.

In analyzing what would be appropriate for Crawford County, I was required, with the Court Administrator to look at not only the average case filings in each magisterial district, but also weighted analysis based on a formula developed by a statewide committee, which included Crawford County Magisterial District Judge William D. Chisholm.

The purpose of the weighted figures was to recognize that certain types of cases do not typically take as much Magisterial District Judge time as other types of cases. For example, handling a traffic citation requires much less time than handling a preliminary hearing in a criminal matter.

An analysis based on that information requires that the average caseload of a magisterial judicial district must be equal to or greater than ten percent (10%) of the average annual caseload for, in our case, other sixth class counties or there must be support provided why a magisterial district judge should not be proposed for elimination.

Further, the magisterial districts within a judicial district must fall within a plus or minus fifteen percent (15%) range of the annual average workload for the judicial district.

Currently, the average annual caseload for the 24 sixth class counties in Pennsylvania is 3,263. The average annual caseload for the five (5) magisterial district courts in Crawford County is 2,987 filings, which is 8.5% below the average for districts in sixth class counties. Therefore, in order to meet the criteria of an average caseload throughout this magisterial district equal to or greater than ten percent (10%) of the average annual caseload for sixth class counties as required, the average annual caseload would have to be 3,589 filings if we are to keep five (5) magisterial district courts.

Of the 24 sixth class counties, only Somerset County, which has an average annual caseload of 4,238 filings per district and Crawford County have five (5) magisterial districts. Obviously, the numbers in Somerset County are actually about thirty percent (30%) above the average for sixth class counties. Additionally, nine (9) of those twenty-four (24) sixth class counties have three (3) or fewer Magisterial District Judges.

By eliminating one magisterial district, the average caseload in Crawford would become 3,734 filings, which would be 14% above the sixth class county average, but certainly nowhere near the number of cases that are being handled in Somerset County.

Additionally, the average annual workload for each Magisterial District Judge in Crawford County based on the weighted work load criteria is 24,148 units.

Three of Crawford County's five magisterial districts fall outside of that number, ranging from an average workload of 17,610 units to 30,477 units.

Thus, as the attached documents will indicate, the numbers for Crawford County, based on the criteria provided by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, suggest that four (4) magisterial court districts is appropriate for Crawford County.

There are certainly arguments that I could make as to why we should be allowed to keep five (5) magisterial court districts even though our numbers do not suggest that; but those, in the end, are somewhat speculative and probably not significantly compelling.

For instance, in counties in Pennsylvania where there has been significant drilling activity as a result of Marcellus shale, such as Washington County and Lycoming County, there has been significant increased activity of all kinds in the court system. There was some indication that during the next ten years, since the Utica shale extends into Crawford County, we could see more activity here, but that is somewhat speculative and even the caseload figures for the four magisterial court districts we propose would suggest that those districts could handle an increased work load.

During the 2001 and 2002 judicial redistricting analysis, one of the criteria was a consideration of the time to get from any location in a magisterial court district to the magisterial judge office. That criteria has been eliminated, but certainly because of the large geographical area of Crawford County (1,013 square miles of land and 25 square miles of water), our citizens will be required to travel further due to the proposed reduction to four magisterial district judges. Again, however, based on that criteria being eliminated, we cannot conclude that that is compelling.

A further factor is that, as I indicated, we reduced the magisterial court districts from six (6) to five (5), effective 2006 and residents of several of the townships and the Borough of Cochranton will be required again to move to a different district. Again, however, I cannot conclude that is compelling, nor can I conclude that all of this together, while important, would justify keeping five (5) districts in light of the caseload and workload numbers.

The guidelines provided by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts suggested that I should meet with all of the Magisterial District Judges early in the process for their input and the Court Administrator and I did that in late November 2011. We explored, with the Magisterial District Judges a plan that would relocate some of the townships to different districts, but still keep five (5) magisterial court districts. We also looked at five (5) separate maps, each eliminating one (1) of the magisterial court districts.

The guidelines provided by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts direct that if a district is to be eliminated, we should first try to do that in a district where a Magisterial District Judge will not be seeking reelection or will be reaching the mandatory age of 70.

At the November 2011 meeting with the Magisterial District Judges, Magisterial District Judge William D. Chisholm indicated he would be retiring at the end of his current term. I, thus, gave strong consideration to the elimination of that district, encompassing the City of Meadville and West Mead Township at the end of Judge Chisholm's term, but because of the case volume in that

district, it is not realistic to eliminate that district and, thus, include the City of Meadville and West Mead Township in another district.

I was later advised by Magisterial District Judge Michael Rossi that he would also be retiring at the end of his term, effective January 3, 2016. That fact, together with the caseload and workload analysis, has led to the conclusion that District 30-3-02 should be eliminated upon the retirement of Judge Rossi.

None of the other Magisterial District Judges will reach mandatory retirement age during their current term nor did any of the other three indicate that they intended to retire at the end of their current terms.

I take this opportunity to thank Judge Chisholm and Judge Rossi for advising me of their intended retirements, which has aided me in reaching the conclusion I have reached during this difficult process. Both of those judges have many years of distinguished service and I am sure will continue to provide that service during the almost four (4) years that remain in each of their current terms.

Taking into consideration all of those factors, but most importantly, that the districts we suggest in the reduction to four districts would be the closest to allowing all four districts to be as close as possible to statewide average caseloads and to workloads within the county as is reflected in the attached reports, I am proposing the following:

- 1) Magisterial District Court 30-3-01, with its current office in Linesville, Pennsylvania shall continue to exist and Greenwood and Union Township will be added to that district, effective January 4, 2016.
- 2) Magisterial District 30-2-01, with its office currently in Meadville, Pennsylvania shall continue to encompass the City of Meadville, Pennsylvania and West Mead Township.

- 3) Magisterial District 30-3-03, effective January 4, 2016, will add Vernon Township, but will lose Richmond Township, Randolph Township, Blooming Valley Borough and East Mead Township.
- 4) Magisterial District 30-3-06, currently located in Titusville, Pennsylvania will, effective January 4, 2016, add East Fairfield Township, Fairfield Township, Cochranton Borough, Wayne Township, East Mead Township, Randolph Township, Blooming Valley Borough and Richmond Township.

When District 30-3-02 is eliminated effective January 4, 2016, Crawford County will have, within the new boundaries for District 30-3-03, the office within the Crawford County Correctional Facility that currently houses Magisterial District Judge Lincoln S. Zilhaver and the county-owned building in Vernon Township, which currently houses Magisterial District Judge Rossi in District 30-3-02 within the same magisterial district.

The Crawford County Commissioners will have to make a decision, with the approval of the President Judge, as to which of those offices, if either, will be used going forward for the Magisterial District Judge office for 30-3-03. In light of the addition of Vernon Township to that district and the increased volume of work, it may be appropriate to consider using both of those offices in some manner within that district.

That is not a decision that needs to be made at this time, but will need to be considered as January 4, 2016 more closely approaches.

I recognize that in the recommendations that are being made that there may be some concerns by police agencies, as well as citizens, with the travel requirements and I am certainly sensitive to that, but based on the criteria and the mandate from the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to reduce the overall number of Magisterial District Court Judgeships, I believe the proposal I am making is the most appropriate.

This proposal will be available for public viewing effective today, with all attachments at the office of each current Magisterial District Judge in Crawford County during normal business hours and will

also be an available in the Crawford County Law Library on the Second Floor of the Crawford County Courthouse during normal business hours.

The proposal, with all attachments, may be viewed also online on the county's website at www.crawfordcounty.pa.net.

The plan will be available for viewing for thirty (30) days from this date and after that, sometime prior to March 30, 2012 I will submit to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts with any written comments that the public wishes to provide.

Those comments should be sent to me at:

Anthony J. Vardaro, President Judge Crawford County Courthouse Judge's Chambers 903 Diamond Park Meadville, PA 16335



JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET.

ىل س	DICIAL DISTRICT NUMBER:	30
A.	What is the class of county?	6
В.	What is the percentage difference in the average annual caseload between this judicial district and the applicable class of county?	-8%
PR	OPOSED ACTIONS:	
A.	List existing magisterial districts: 30-2-01; 30-3-01; 30-3-02; 30-3-03; 30-3-06	
В.	Does this judicial district have an annual average caseload that is ten percent above the average caseload for the applicable class of county?	NO .
	1. If the answer to II. B. above is NO, are eliminations proposed?a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination. 30-3-02	YES
	b) If no eliminations are proposed based on II. B. abo for this decision?	ve, what are the factors
	2. If the answer to II. B. above is YES, are eliminations proposed?	YES
	a) List magisterial districts proposed for elimination.30-3-02	
C.	Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment?	YES
	List magisterial districts proposed for reestablishmen 30-2-01	nt.
D.	Are any magisterial districts proposed for realignment?	YES
	1. List magisterial districts proposed for realignment. 30-3-01; 30-3-03; 30-3-06	

AOPC

JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMMARY WORKSHEET

III. Ni	GHT AND CENTRAL COURT OPERATIONS	
Α.	Is there a night court operating within judicial district?	the NO
В.	Is there a central court operating within to judicial district?	the NO
C.	Note comments regarding how night, central impact operations within the judicial district.	
iV. Pu	BLIC COMMENT	
Α.	A request for public comment was posted:	YES
В.	Comments were received:	YES
C.	Comments are attached:	YES
V.s. AD	DITIONAL REMARKS CONCERNING PROPOSAL.	
VI DA	TESUBMITTED TO AOPC:	
	SIDENT JUDGE NAME: West Anthony J. Varo	arozaria
Sign	diore in	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

1.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	30-2-01
11.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload	
,	A. Average Total Caseload:	3,191
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	7%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	-3%
111.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	•
	A. Average Total Workload:	30,477
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	26%
·	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES
	 D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload endistrict? Will be address in realignment of other districts 	quity within your judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
÷	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/4/2016
٧.	Magisterial District Information:	·
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: William D. Chishol	m
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016

AOPC

C	. Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	8/23/2019
D	. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	984 Water St., Ste. 1 Meadville 16335
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma Meadville City Police; West Mead Twp. Police	ngisterial district:
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis	trict:
VI. E. Lis	ST EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: City Of Meadville, West Mead Township	
VII.a. Lis	it Proposed Magisterial District Municipalities City Of Meadville, West Mead Township	5:
VIII. At	DDITIONAL COMMENTS:	
PLEASESA	VEA COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA F	OR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	30-3-01
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	2,128
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-29%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	-35%
III.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Workload	
	A. Average Total Workload:	21,083
,	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-13%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	NO :
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?	quity within your judicial
IV.	Proposed Change:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	☐ Reestablish☐ Realign☐ Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date $(m/d/yyyy)$:	1/4/2016
V.	Magisterial District Information:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Rita J. Marwood	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016

c.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	6/25/2028
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	6121 Hwy. 6, Po Box 128 Linesville 16424
Е.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this mag Linesville, Conneaut Lake Regional	gisterial district:
н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dist Interstate 79	rict:
VI Lis	T. EXISTING: MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Beaver Twp, Conneaut Lake, Conneaut Twp, Connea Linesville, North Shenango Twp, Pine Twp, Sadsbury Spring Twp, Springboro, Summerhill Twp, Summit T West Shenango Twp.	y Twp, South Shenango Twp,
	T PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES Beaver Twp, Conneaut Lake Boro, Conneaut Twp, Constant Twp, Constant Twp, Greenwood Twp, Linesville Boro, North Short Twp, Pine Twp, Sadsbury Twp, South Short Springboro, Summerhill Twp, Summit Twp. Union Two Stricks Constant Twp.	onneautville Boro, East Iorth Shenango Twp, West enango Twp, Spring Twp,
	DITIONAL COMMENTS: VE A COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FO	RTHENEXTMAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 28



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

I.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	30-3-02
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	5,284
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	76%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	61%
III.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	28,529
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	18%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent <i>greater</i> than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload e district?Will be addressed in realignment	quity within your judicial
IV.	PROPOSED CHANGE:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	☐ Reestablish ☐ Realign ☑ Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/4/2016
٧.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT INFORMATION:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: A. Michael Rossi	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016

AOPC

C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	10/24/2018
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	10996 Perry Highway Meadville 16335
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma PA State Police; Vernon Twp. Police, Cochranton P	=
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dis Interstate 79	trict:
vit lat	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTIES OF THE PA	AND A SECOND AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY
MIL ÜBBRAGROBHOLMAZOLBIERAL DISTRIGGIMÜNIGIPAÜÜRES None		
VIII (A)	iajirtenya Comministra	
enliaksies).	W:Aggoryan: utilisWonKarlerringqingəravijeringibadas	oraja arangan mendan pangan dan dan



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

l.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	30-3-03
II.	Breakdown of Magisterial District - Caseload	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	2,231
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-26%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	-32%
111.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	17,610
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-27%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	YES
	 D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict? Will require realignment 	quity within your judicial
IV.	Proposed Change:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	Reestablish Realign Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/4/2016
V.	Magisterial District Information:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Lincoln S. Zilhave	r
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/5/2014

AOPC

c.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	12/20/2035
	D Office Legation (Street City and 7in ands).	2100 Independence Drive
D.	Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Saegertown 16335
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	YES
G.	List any police departments located within this ma Cambridge Springs Police	gisterial district:
H.	List any major highways within this magisterial dist Interstate 79	trict:
VI. Lis	EXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Blooming Valley, Cambridge Twp, Cambridge Sprin Mead Twp, Hayfield Twp, Randolph Twp, Richmond Saegertown, Venango, Venango Twp, Woodcock, V	d Twp, Rockdale Twp,
VII. LIST PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES: Cambridge Twp, Cambridge Springs Boro, Cussewago Twp, Hayfield Twp, Rockdale Twp, Saegertown, Venango, Venango Twp, Vernon Twp, Woodcock Boro, Woodcock Twp. VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:		
PLEASESA	VEA COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET, PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA FO	OR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT



PLEASE SUBMIT ONE COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET FOR EACH MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT.

l.	MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER (#####):	30-3-06
II.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - CASELOAD	
	A. Average Total Caseload:	2,102
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and your judicial district's average total caseload:	-30%
	C. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total caseload and applicable class of county's average total caseload:	-36%
111.	BREAKDOWN OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - WORKLOAD	
	A. Average Total Workload:	23,043
	B. Difference (%) between this magisterial district's average total workload and the judicial district's average total workload:	-5%
	C. Does this magisterial district have an average total workload that is fifteen percent greater than or less than any other magisterial district within your judicial district:	NO
	D. If YES, how does this difference impact workload edistrict?	quity within your judicial
IV.	Proposed Change:	
	A. Please indicate any proposed change in this magisterial district. Check all that apply.	☐ Reestablish☐ Realign☐ Eliminate
	B. What is the proposed effective date (m/d/yyyy):	1/4/2016
٧.	Magisterial District Information:	
	A. Magisterial District Judge Name: Amy L. Nicols	
	B. Term Expiration (m/d/yyyy):	1/3/2016

C.	Mandatory Retirement Date (m/d/yyyy):	10/29/2032
		150 W. Central Ave., Ste. 2
D.	D. Office Location (Street, City and Zip code):	Titusville 16354
<u></u>		
E.	Is the office within the boundaries of the	YES
	magisterial district:	
F.	Is the residence of the magisterial district judge	
' '	-	YES
	within the boundaries of the magisterial district:	
G.	List any police departments located within this ma	gisterial district:
	Titusville City Police	
Н.	List any major highways within this magisterial dist	rict:
VI. LIS	TEXISTING MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES!	Hydatoun Oil Crook Twn
	Athens Twp, Bloomfield Twp, Centerville, Titusville	
	Rome Twp, Sparta Twp, Spartansburg, Steuben Tw	p, rownvine, rroy rwp.
VII: Lis	T PROPOSED MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES	
	Athens Twp, Bloomfield Twp, Blooming Valley Boro	
	Boro, East Fairfield Twp, East Mead Twp, Fairfield Twp, Hydetown, Oil Creek	
	Twp, Randolph Twp, Richmond Twp, Rome Twp, Sp	
	Steuben Twp, Townville Boro, Troy Twp, Wayne Tw	SUMMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
VIII. AD	DITIONAL COMMENTS:	
	VEA COPY OF THIS WORKSHEET PRIOR TO ENTERING DATA F	OR THE NEXT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT
IN LEASE SP		



